

Quantum-corrected ultraextremal horizons and validity of WKB in massless limit

Arkady A. Popov*

Department of Mathematics, Kazan State Pedagogical University, 1 Mezhlauk St., Kazan 420021, Russia

O. B. Zaslavskii†

Astronomical Institute of Kharkov V.N. Karazin National University, 35 Sumska St., Kharkov, 61022, Ukraine

We consider quantum backreaction of the quantized scalar field with an arbitrary mass and curvature coupling on ultraextremal horizons. The problem is distinguished in that (in contrast to non-extremal or extremal black holes) the WKB approximation remains valid near r_+ (which is the radius of the horizon) even in the massless limit. We examine the behavior of the stress-energy tensor of the quantized field near r_+ and show that quantum-corrected objects under discussion do exist. In the limit of the large mass our results agree with previous ones known in literature.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy

I. INTRODUCTION

The distinguished role of extremal horizons (EH) is beyond any doubts. It is sufficient to mention briefly such issues as black hole entropy, the scenarios of evaporation including the nature of remnants, etc. Meanwhile, although such object appear naturally on the pure classical level (the famous examples is the Reissner-Nordström black hole with the mass equal to charge), the question of their existence becomes non-trivial in the semiclassical case, when backreaction of quantum fields (whatever weak it be) is taken into account. This is due to the fact that the quantum-corrected metric contains some combinations of the stress-energy tensor having the meaning of the energy measured by a free-falling observer that potentially may diverge near the extremal horizon. However, numerical calculations showed that such divergencies do not occur for massless fields in the Reissner-Nordström background [1]. Analytical studies for massive quantized fields [2] gave the same result. Recently they have been extended to so called ultraextremal horizons (UEH) [3] when the metric coefficient

$$-g_{tt} \sim (r_+ - r)^3 \quad (1)$$

near the horizon (here r is the Schwarzschild-like coordinate, $r = r_+$ corresponds to the horizon). Such horizons are encountered, for example, in the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter solution, when the cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$ [4]. In doing so, it turns out that the horizon is of cosmological nature, so r approach r_+ from $r < r_+$.

The results for UEH are obtained in [3] for massive fields only. The natural question arises, whether semiclassical UEH exist for quantum fields of an arbitrary mass, including the massless case. It is worth reminding that the mathematical basis for analytical calculations of the stress-energy tensor of quantum fields consists in using WKB approximation. The well-known DeWitt-Schwinger approximation [5, 6, 7] is expansion with respect to the small parameter

$$\epsilon = (\lambda/r_0)^2, \quad (2)$$

where λ is the Compton wavelength, r_0 is the characteristic curvature scale of the gravitational field, near the horizon $r_0 = r_+$. For massive fields $\lambda \sim m^{-1}$, where m is the mass of field (we use the geometric system of units with $G = c = \hbar = 1$). For massless fields $\lambda \sim r_+$, so ϵ ceases to be a small parameter. On the first glance, the same reasons that prevent analytical studies of semiclassical extremal black holes should reveal themselves here as well, so if we are unable to cope with usual EH, the situation is not better with UEH. However, the parameter WKB expansion can be redefined in such a way that this parameter will be still small near UEH in contrast to usual EH. This is the key observation that enables us to perform analytical calculations and establish that semiclassical UEH do exist for an arbitrary mass of quantized field.

*Electronic address: apopov@kzn.ru

†Electronic address: ozaslav@kharkov.ua

II. VALIDITY OF WKB APPROXIMATION

The metric under consideration reads

$$ds^2 = -U(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{V(r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \quad (3)$$

We denote the root of equation $V(r) = 0$ as r_+ , i.e.

$$V(r_+) = 0. \quad (4)$$

The applicability of the WKB approximation requires that the wavelength of the particle must vary only slightly over distances of the order of itself (see, e.g., Sec. 46 of [8]). It is convenient to formulate the corresponding condition in terms of the invariant proper distance ρ . If we assume that the corresponding scale on which the metric changes is determined by first derivatives (this is the case in the problem under consideration) this scale is characterized by the parameter

$$r_0^{-1} = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{d \ln(U)}{d\rho} \right|, \left| \frac{d \ln(r)}{d\rho} \right| \right\}. \quad (5)$$

For the scalar quantum field of arbitrary mass in static spherically symmetric spacetimes we can use the WKB approximation derived in [9, 10] (see, also, [11, 12] for the fields of spin 1 and 1/2). Then the role of the Compton wavelength is played by the parameter

$$\lambda = \left[m^2 + \frac{2\xi}{r^2} \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (6)$$

ξ is the conformal coupling parameter. Let us note, that in the case

$$m^2 \gg \frac{2\xi}{r^2} \quad (7)$$

the approximations of [9, 10] coincide with corresponding results of [5, 6, 7].

Let in the zero approximation the metric have the form (3) with $U = V$. Then r_+ corresponds to the event horizon. We assume that

$$\frac{d^k V}{dr^k} \Big|_{r=r_+} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (8)$$

Near the horizon $r \rightarrow r_+$ and the derivatives of r^2 and V with respect to ρ can be evaluated as follows

$$\left| \frac{dr^2}{d\rho} \right| \sim \frac{|r - r_+|^{n/2}}{r_+^{n/2-1}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{U} \frac{dU}{d\rho} \right| \sim \frac{|r - r_+|^{n/2-1}}{r_+^{n/2}}. \quad (10)$$

The case $n = 2$ corresponds to the extremal Reissner-Nordström metric. Then, it follows from (9) that $\left| \frac{dr^2}{d\rho} \right| \rightarrow 0$ but $\left| \frac{1}{U} \frac{dU}{d\rho} \right| \sim r_+^{-1}$ does not contain the small parameter. As a result, we obtain from (5) that $r_0 \sim r_+$. Therefore, for massless fields, when $\lambda \sim r_+$, the parameter $\varepsilon \sim 1$ ceases to be small and the WKB approximation fails.

However, the situation changes drastically, if $n > 2$. Then

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda^2}{r_+^2} \left(\frac{r - r_+}{r_+} \right)^{n-2} \ll 1. \quad (11)$$

The approximation becomes increasingly accurate as the ratio of the λ^2/r_0^2 approaches zero, i.e., in the limit $r \rightarrow r_+$. Thus, the WKB approximation is valid near r_+ for any finite mass m of the quantized field, including $m = 0$. Below we use this approach for finding the quantum-corrected metric.

III. QUANTUM BACKREACTION NEAR ULTRAEXTREMAL HORIZON

The 00 and 11 Einstein equation for our system read

$$\frac{V'}{r} + \frac{V}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \Lambda = 8\pi T_t^t, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{VU'}{rU} + \frac{V}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \Lambda = 8\pi T_r^r, \quad (13)$$

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to r , $T_\mu^\nu = T_\mu^{\nu(cl)} + \langle T_\mu^\nu \rangle_{ren}$, where $T_\nu^{\mu(cl)}$ is the classical source, $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}$ is the renormalized stress-energy tensor of quantized fields.

We will be dealing with the electromagnetic field, then

$$T_\nu^{\mu(cl)} = \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4} \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1). \quad (14)$$

We assume the conditions

$$U(r_+) = U'(r_+) = U''(r_+) = V(r_+) = V'(r_+) = V''(r_+) = 0 \quad (15)$$

which correspond to the UEH with $n = 3$. This choice is motivated by its physical interpretation since it corresponds to the ultraextremal (ultracold) horizon of the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter metric [4].

If backreaction is neglected,

$$U(r) = V(r) = -\frac{(r + 3r_+)}{6r_+^2 r^2} (r - r_+)^3 \quad (16)$$

where the unperturbed conditions of ultraextremalization read [4]

$$Q^2 = \frac{r_+^2}{2}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2r_+^2}. \quad (18)$$

To evaluate the role of backreaction, we proceed along the same lines as in [2, 3], i.e we start not from a classical background with further adding quantum corrections but from the quantum-corrected self-consistent geometries from the very beginning. It means that for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we still have the UEH although the explicit conditions of ultraextremalization differ from (17), (18) by the terms of the order ε .

The solutions of equation (12) can be written as follows:

$$V(r) = 1 + \left(\frac{\Lambda r_+^3}{3} - \frac{Q^2}{r_+} - r_+ \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} + \frac{8\pi}{r} \int_{r_+}^r d\tilde{r} \tilde{r}^2 \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}, \quad (19)$$

where $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}$ is calculated on the unperturbed background and it follows from the conditions of ultraextremalization (15) that

$$Q^2 = \frac{r_+^2}{2} - 2\pi r_+^5 \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+}, \quad (20)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2r_+^2} + 8\pi \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} \Big|_{r=r_+} + 2\pi r_+ \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+}. \quad (21)$$

The solutions of equation (13) can be written as follows:

$$U = \exp(2\psi)V \approx (1 + 2\psi)V, \quad (22)$$

$$\psi = \frac{const}{2} + 4\pi \int_{r_+}^r d\tilde{r} F(\tilde{r}), \quad F(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{r} \frac{T_r^r - T_t^t}{V}. \quad (23)$$

Then it follows from (14) that non-vanishing contribution in $F(r)$ comes from quantum fields only. Below we restrict ourselves by the case of the scalar field.

Now the crucial question is the behavior of $F(r)$ near the ultraextremal horizon. If it remains finite at r_+ , the metric function $U(r)$ (22) has the same asymptotic as $V(r)$ that corresponds to the ultraextremal horizon. As far as the choice of the state is concerned, it is worth reminding (see Sec. 11.3.7 of [13]) that the DeWitt-Schwinger approximation for the very massive field [5, 6, 7] in a given background is almost state-independent and entirely local, depending at each point only on the values of the curvature and its derivatives. However, the reservation "almost" is crucial now since the difference between Hartle-Hawking, Boulware and Unruh states is essential in the very vicinity of the horizon. Meanwhile, as we are intending to elucidate whether the ultraextremal horizon exists or not, it is just this vicinity that is crucial for our purposes. Apart from this, we discuss in general a finite mass of quantum fields. Therefore, we would like to stress that we are interested in the Hartle-Hawking state. The latter implies that we consider the static region of the spacetime. Now it is confined by $0 < r \leq r_+$. The problem connected with the presence of singularity at $r = 0$ can be solved in the same way as in [3]: we smear or simply replace it by some central body with a regular center and the boundary at $r = R < r_+$. Then for $R < r \leq r_+$ one can consider propagation of quantum fields in the everywhere regular background. As, is explained above, the WKB approximation does work now, we can use safely the formulas for the renormalized expression for $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}$ of the quantized scalar field obtained in [9] for any finite m and arbitrary coupling ξ to the scalar curvature, applying them to the near-horizon region

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda^2}{r_+^2} \left(\frac{r - r_+}{r_+} \right) \ll 1. \quad (24)$$

It turns out that in the expansion

$$\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren} = \langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}|_{r=r_+} + \frac{d\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+} (r - r_+) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_+} (r - r_+)^2 + \dots \quad (25)$$

the coefficients at $(r - r_+)^k$ with $k = 0, 1, 2$ coincide for $\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}$ and $\langle T_r^r \rangle_{ren}$. As a result, the difference $\langle T_r^r \rangle_{ren} - \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} = B(r_+ - r)^3 + O((r_+ - r)^4)$ with the finite constant B has the same order as V , so that F turns out to be finite as $r \rightarrow r_+$. (See technical details below.) Thus, the quantity ψ is also finite, so that the quantum-corrected UEH do exist.

One can find also the explicit expression for the quantum-corrected metric in terms of r_+ and $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}$ near r_+ . Integration of (19, 22, 23) gives us

$$V(r) = \left[-\frac{2}{3r_+^3} + \frac{20\pi}{3} \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{4\pi r_+}{3} \frac{d^2\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_+} \right] (r - r_+)^3 \quad (26)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{7}{6r_+^4} - \frac{20\pi}{3r_+} \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{d^2\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{\pi r_+}{3} \frac{d^3\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^3} \Big|_{r=r_+} \right] (r - r_+)^4 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right), \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(r) = & \left[-\frac{2(1 + const)}{3r_+^3} + \frac{20\pi}{3} \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{4r_+ \pi}{3} \frac{d^2\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_+} \right] (r - r_+)^3 \\ & + \left[\frac{7(1 + const)}{6r_+^4} - \frac{20\pi}{3r_+} \frac{d\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{d^2\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^2} \Big|_{r=r_+} \right. \\ & + \frac{\pi r_+}{3} \frac{d^3\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^3} \Big|_{r=r_+} + \frac{4\pi r_+}{3} \left(\frac{d^3\langle T_r^r \rangle_{ren}}{dr^3} \Big|_{r=r_+} - \frac{d^3\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren}}{dr^3} \Big|_{r=r_+} \right) \Big] (r - r_+)^4 \\ & + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now we may substitute into these general formulas for the quantum-corrected metric explicit expressions for the stress-energy tensor from Appendix. As, in general, the corresponding expressions are very cumbersome, we restrict ourselves by two particular case.

A. Massless fields with conformal coupling ($\xi = 1/6$)

The expressions (26), (28) read now

$$V(r) = \left[-\frac{2}{3r_+^3} - \frac{0.00372}{\pi r_+^5} \right] (r - r_+)^3 + \left\{ \frac{7}{6r_+^4} - \frac{20}{3\pi r_+^6} \left[0.03058 - \frac{1}{120} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] \right\} (r - r_+)^4 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right), \quad (29)$$

$$U(r) = \left[-\frac{2(1 + \text{const})}{3r_+^3} - \frac{0.00372}{\pi r_+^5} \right] (r - r_+)^3 + \left\{ \frac{7(1 + \text{const})}{6r_+^4} + \frac{1}{\pi r_+^6} \left[-0.26311 + \frac{7}{90} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] \right\} (r - r_+)^4 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right). \quad (30)$$

B. Massive fields ($m_{DS}^2 = m^2 \gg 2\xi/r_+^2$)

The quantum-corrected expressions (26), (28) for the massive field case are now

$$V(r) = \left[-\frac{2}{3r_+^3} + \frac{1}{m^2 \pi r_+^7} \left(-\frac{\xi}{135} + \frac{1}{378} \right) \right] (r - r_+)^3 + \left[\frac{7}{6r_+^4} + \frac{1}{m^2 \pi r_+^8} \left(\frac{\xi}{60} - \frac{1}{360} \right) \right] (r - r_+)^4 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right), \quad (31)$$

$$U(r) = \left[-\frac{2(1 + \text{const})}{3r_+^3} + \frac{1}{m^2 \pi r_+^7} \left(-\frac{\xi}{135} + \frac{1}{378} \right) \right] (r - r_+)^3 + \left[\frac{7(1 + \text{const})}{6r_+^4} + \frac{1}{m^2 \pi r_+^8} \left(\frac{5\xi}{108} - \frac{149}{7560} \right) \right] (r - r_+)^4 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^5}{r_+^5}\right). \quad (32)$$

IV. CONCLUSION

Usually, the validity of the WKB approximation and treatment of massless quantized field (or fields with a finite mass) conflict with each other in the region of strong gravitation field, in particular near the event horizon. Nonetheless, we showed that, happily, there exist exceptions of physical interest when both issues are reconciled. Using the expressions for the stress-energy tensor found on the basis of the WKB approximation, we showed that semiclassical (quantum-corrected) ultraextremal horizons exist for any mass of the field and for any power $n > 2$ in the asymptotic expansion of the metric coefficient (1) near the horizon. In doing so, one can take $n = 2 + \delta$ where δ is as small as one likes. As there is no doubt that semiclassical non-extremal black holes exist, it turns out that both for $n < 2$ and $n > 2$ the horizon is well-defined. We consider this as a strong (although not quite rigorous) argument in favour of the existence of semiclassical extremal ($n = 2$) black holes dressed by quantum fields with a finite or even zero mass, in addition to numeric results found in [1].

V. APPENDIX. EXPLICIT BEHAVIOR OF STRESS-ENERGY TENSOR NEAR UEH

Here we list explicitly the coefficients in the expansion of relevant components of $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{ren}$ near UEH. They are obtained from [9] where calculations have been done under the condition

$$\mu_+^2 = m^2 r_+^2 + 2\xi - 1/4 > 0. \quad (33)$$

We have:

$$\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^4} \left\{ \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{32} + \frac{3\xi^2}{32} - \frac{11\xi}{384} + \frac{79}{30720} + \left[-\frac{m^4 r_+^4}{64} \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{16} - \frac{\xi^2}{16} + \frac{\xi}{48} - \frac{1}{480} \left] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{\text{DS}}^2 r_+^2} \right) + \frac{\mu_+^4}{8} [I_1(\mu_+) - I_2(\mu_+)] \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[\left(-\frac{5\xi^2}{24} + \frac{73\xi}{1152} - \frac{77}{23040} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - \frac{5\xi^3}{12} + \frac{103\xi^2}{576} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{53\xi}{2560} + \frac{7}{11520} \right] + \left[\left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{8} + \frac{\xi^2}{4} - \frac{\xi}{12} + \frac{1}{120} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{\text{DS}}^2 r_+^2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \mu_+^2 \left[\left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) I_2(\mu_+) + \left(2\xi^2 - \frac{5\xi}{12} + \frac{1}{24} \right) I_1(\mu_+) \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \mu_+^3 \left[\left(\frac{m^2 r_+^2}{8} + \xi^2 + \frac{65\xi}{48} - \frac{59}{192} \right) \frac{dI_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \left(\frac{25}{192} - \frac{31\xi}{48} - \xi^2 \right) \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{8} \frac{dI_2(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} \right] + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{4} \right) \mu_+^4 \left[\frac{23}{48} \frac{d^2 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} - \frac{5}{12} \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{\mu_+^5}{32} \left[\frac{d^3 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} - \frac{d^3 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} \right] \right\} (r - r_+) \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^6} \left\{ \left[\frac{m^4 r_+^4}{8} + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{4} \right] \mu_+ \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} - \frac{5}{4} \mu_+^4 I_2(\mu_+) \right. \\
& \left. + \left[\frac{3m^2 r_+^2}{8} + \frac{5}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) \right] \mu_+^2 I_1(\mu_+) + \left[- \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{5m^2 r_+^2}{16} - \frac{5\xi^2}{8} + \frac{5\xi}{24} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{48} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{\text{DS}}^2 r_+^2} \right) - \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{192} + \frac{5\xi^2}{8} - \frac{35\xi}{192} + \frac{641}{46080} \right\} (r - r_+)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left\{ \left[\frac{m^6 r_+^6}{24} + \left(\frac{5\xi}{12} - \frac{11}{144} \right) m^4 r_+^4 + \left(\frac{7\xi^2}{6} - \frac{13\xi}{36} + \frac{1}{30} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + \xi^3 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{5\xi^2}{12} + \frac{\xi}{15} - \frac{1}{168} \right] \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} + \frac{1}{\mu_+} \left[-\frac{m^6 r_+^6}{3} + \left(\frac{53}{288} - \frac{4\xi}{3} \right) m^4 r_+^4 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \left(-\frac{4\xi^2}{3} + \frac{7\xi}{18} - \frac{11}{360} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{24} - \frac{7\xi}{360} - \frac{1}{2520} \right] \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \frac{5\mu_+^4}{2} I_2(\mu_+) \right. \\
& \left. + \left(-\frac{m^2 r_+^2}{2} - \frac{9\xi}{2} + \frac{13}{24} \right) \mu_+^2 I_1(\mu_+) + \left[\left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{5m^2 r_+^2}{8} + \frac{5\xi^2}{4} - \frac{5\xi}{12} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{11}{240} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{\text{DS}}^2 r_+^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[\frac{11m^4 r_+^4}{576} + \left(-\frac{5\xi^2}{4} + \frac{125\xi}{288} - \frac{2513}{69120} \right) m^2 r_+^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{5\xi^3}{2} + \frac{53\xi^2}{48} - \frac{5483\xi}{34560} + \frac{18653}{1935360} \right] \right\} (r - r_+)^3 + O((r - r_+)^4), \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_r^r \rangle_{\text{ren}} - \langle T_t^t \rangle_{\text{ren}} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{144} - \frac{5\xi}{144} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - \frac{5\xi^2}{72} + \frac{11\xi}{576} - \frac{37}{13440} \right] \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{360} \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{\text{DS}}^2 r_+^2} \right) - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \mu_+^2 I_1(\mu_+) + \left[\left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) \frac{m^4 r_+^4}{3} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \left(\frac{4\xi^2}{3} - \frac{29\xi}{72} + \frac{23}{720} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + \frac{4\xi^3}{3} - \frac{23\xi^2}{36} + \frac{19\xi}{180} - \frac{11}{2520} \right] \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{\mu_+ d\mu_+} \right. \\
& \left. + \left[- \left(\xi - \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{m^4 r_+^4}{6} + \left(-\frac{2\xi^2}{3} + \frac{2\xi}{9} - \frac{1}{45} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - \frac{2\xi^3}{3} + \frac{5\xi^2}{18} - \frac{2\xi}{45} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{1}{252} \right] \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} \right\} (r - r_+)^3 + O((r - r_+)^4). \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

It is essential that this difference has the same main order as the function V , so that F and ψ in (23) are indeed finite on the horizon.

$$\langle T_\theta^\theta \rangle_{\text{ren}} = \langle T_\varphi^\varphi \rangle_{\text{ren}} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^4} \left\{ \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{32} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{32} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right)^2 + \left[-\frac{m^4 r_+^4}{64} + \frac{\xi^2}{16} \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\xi}{48} + \frac{1}{480} \Big] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{ds}^2 r_+^2} \right) - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) \frac{\mu_+^2}{4} I_1(\mu_+) + \frac{\mu_+^4}{8} I_2(\mu_+) \Big\} \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[\left(\frac{7\xi^2}{24} - \frac{113\xi}{1152} + \frac{41}{4608} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + \frac{7\xi^3}{12} - \frac{143\xi^2}{576} \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{271\xi}{7680} - \frac{77}{46080} \Big] + \left[\left(\frac{1}{6} - \xi \right) \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{8} - \frac{\xi^2}{4} + \frac{\xi}{12} - \frac{1}{120} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{ds}^2 r_+^2} \right) \right. \\
& + \left[\left(2\xi^2 + \frac{5\xi}{6} - \frac{3}{16} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + 4\xi^3 + \frac{13\xi^2}{6} - \frac{5\xi}{6} + \frac{1}{16} \right] I_1(\mu_+) \\
& - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{8} \right) \mu_+^2 I_2(\mu_+) + \left[\left(\xi^2 + \frac{47\xi}{48} - \frac{25}{128} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + 2\xi^3 + \frac{53\xi^2}{24} \right. \\
& - \frac{73\xi}{96} + \frac{29}{512} \Big] \mu_+ \frac{dI_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \left[\left(-\xi^2 - \frac{13\xi}{48} + \frac{29}{384} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - 2\xi^3 \right. \\
& - \frac{19\xi^2}{24} + \frac{35\xi}{96} - \frac{15}{512} \Big] \mu_+ \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \frac{m^2 r_+^2}{8} \mu_+^3 \frac{dI_2(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} \\
& + \left(\frac{23\xi}{48} - \frac{7}{128} \right) \mu_+^4 \frac{d^2 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} - \left(\frac{5\xi}{12} - \frac{7}{128} \right) \mu_+^4 \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} \\
& + \frac{\xi}{32} \mu_+^5 \left[\frac{d^3 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} - \frac{d^3 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} \right] \Big\} (r - r_+) \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^6} \left\{ \left[\frac{m^6 r_+^6}{16} + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{12} \right) \frac{m^4 r_+^4}{4} + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{\xi m^2 r_+^2}{4} \right] \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\mu_+} \left[-\frac{m^6 r_+^6}{4} + \left(-\frac{\xi}{4} + \frac{7}{192} \right) m^4 r_+^4 + \left(2\xi^2 - \frac{59\xi}{96} + \frac{3}{64} \right) m^2 r_+^2 + 3\xi^3 \right. \\
& - \frac{11\xi^2}{8} + \frac{5\xi}{24} - \frac{1}{96} \Big] \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \left(-4\xi + \frac{9}{16} \right) \mu_+^2 I_1(\mu_+) - \frac{5}{4} \mu_+^4 I_2(\mu_+) \\
& + \left[\left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{5m^2 r_+^2}{16} + \frac{5\xi^2}{8} - \frac{5\xi}{24} + \frac{1}{48} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{ds}^2 r_+^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[\frac{7m^4 r_+^4}{384} \right. \\
& + \left. \left(-\frac{5\xi^2}{8} + \frac{3\xi}{16} - \frac{391}{46080} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - \frac{5\xi^3}{4} + \frac{11\xi^2}{24} - \frac{1111\xi}{23040} + \frac{241}{184320} \right] \Big\} (r - r_+)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_+} \left[\frac{m^8 r_+^8}{48} + \left(\xi + \frac{1}{36} \right) \frac{m^6 r_+^6}{8} + \left(\frac{\xi^2}{4} + \frac{\xi}{72} - \frac{1}{180} \right) m^4 r_+^4 \right. \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{\xi^3}{6} + \frac{\xi^2}{72} - \frac{7\xi}{90} + \frac{11}{720} \right) m^2 r_+^2 \Big] \frac{d^3 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} + \frac{1}{\mu_+^2} \left[-\frac{m^8 r_+^8}{12} \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{\xi}{3} - \frac{35}{576} \right) m^6 r_+^6 + \left(4\xi^2 - \frac{47\xi}{48} + \frac{17}{320} \right) m^4 r_+^4 + \left(\frac{28\xi^3}{3} - \frac{281\xi^2}{48} \right. \\
& + \frac{1687\xi}{1440} - \frac{19}{288} \Big] m^2 r_+^2 + \frac{20\xi^4}{3} - \frac{149\xi^3}{18} + \frac{211\xi^2}{72} - \frac{71\xi}{180} + \frac{13}{720} \Big] \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{\mu_+^3} \left[\frac{m^8 r_+^8}{2} + \left(-\frac{5\xi}{12} + \frac{23}{192} \right) m^6 r_+^6 + \left(-\frac{29\xi^2}{2} + \frac{677\xi}{144} - \frac{2173}{5760} \right) m^4 r_+^4 \right. \\
& + \left(-37\xi^3 + \frac{2117\xi^2}{144} - \frac{2447\xi}{1440} + \frac{1}{20} \right) m^2 r_+^2 - \frac{82\xi^4}{3} + \frac{104\xi^3}{9} - \frac{217\xi^2}{160} \\
& + \frac{19\xi}{1440} + \frac{7}{1920} \Big] \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} + \left(\frac{27\xi}{2} - \frac{49}{24} \right) \mu_+^2 I_1(\mu_+) - \frac{5\mu_+^4}{2} I_2(\mu_+) \\
& + \left[-\left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) \frac{5m^2 r_+^2}{8} - \frac{5\xi^2}{4} + \frac{5\xi}{12} - \frac{2}{45} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\mu_+^2}{m_{ds}^2 r_+^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_+^4} \left[-\frac{m^6 r_+^6}{24} \right. \\
& + \left. \left(\frac{5\xi^2}{4} - \frac{41\xi}{144} - \frac{229}{23040} \right) m^4 r_+^4 + \left(5\xi^3 + \frac{101\xi^2}{72} - \frac{3059\xi}{2880} + \frac{323}{2880} \right) m^2 r_+^2 \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$+5\xi^4 + \frac{65\xi^3}{18} - \frac{13861\xi^2}{5760} + \frac{1001\xi}{2560} - \frac{7207}{368640} \Big] \Big\} (r - r_+)^3 + O((r - r_+)^4), \quad (36)$$

where

$$I_n(\mu_+) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2n-1} \ln|1-x^2|}{1 + e^{2\pi|\mu_+|x}} dx, \quad (37)$$

m_{DS} is equal to the mass m of the field for a massive scalar field. For a massless scalar field it is an arbitrary parameter due to the infrared cutoff in renormalization counterterms for $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle$. A particular choice of the value of m_{DS} corresponds to a finite renormalization of the coefficients of terms in the gravitational Lagrangian and must be fixed by experiment or observation.

By direct calculations, one can check that the stress-energy tensor listed above obeys the conservation law $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle_{;\mu} = 0$.

In the case $m = 0$, $\xi = 1/6$ we can numerically evaluate $I_n(\mu_+)$ and the derivatives of these functions as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\sqrt{1/12}) &\approx -0.05962, & I_2(\sqrt{1/12}) &\approx 0.50384, \\ \frac{dI_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} &\approx 0.64950, & \frac{dI_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} &\approx -0.66948, & \frac{dI_2(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+} &\approx -11.70126, \\ \frac{d^2 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} &\approx -0.03288, & \frac{d^2 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^2} &\approx 21.17445, \\ \frac{d^3 I_0(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} &\approx -43.75175, & \frac{d^3 I_1(\mu_+)}{d\mu_+^3} &\approx -462.08899 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and the expressions (34,36) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} &\simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^4} \left[0.00078 + \frac{1}{2880} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^5} [-0.00241 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{720} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2)] (r - r_+) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^6} \left[0.00463 + \frac{1}{288} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] (r - r_+)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left[0.00417 - \frac{1}{90} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] (r - r_+)^3 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^4}{r_+^8}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\langle T_r^r \rangle_{ren} - \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} \simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left[-0.007406 + \frac{1}{360} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] (r - r_+)^3 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^4}{r_+^8}\right), \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\theta^\theta \rangle_{ren} &\simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^4} \left[-0.00043 - \frac{1}{2880} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^5} [0.00102 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{720} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2)] (r - r_+) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^6} \left[-0.00115 - \frac{1}{288} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] (r - r_+)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2 r_+^7} \left[-0.06380 + \frac{7}{720} \ln(m_{DS}^2 r_+^2) \right] (r - r_+)^3 + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^4}{r_+^8}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In the large mass field limit ($m_{DS}^2 = m^2 \gg 2\xi/r_+^2$) we obtain

$$\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2 r_+^2} = \frac{8\xi - 1}{4m^2 r_+^2} - \frac{(8\xi - 1)^2}{32m^4 r_+^4} + \frac{(8\xi - 1)^3}{192m^6 r_+^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^8 r_+^8}\right), \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(\mu_+) &= -\frac{1}{48\mu_+^2} - \frac{7}{3840\mu_+^4} - \frac{31}{48384\mu_+^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_+^8}\right) = -\frac{1}{48m^2 r_+^2} + \frac{1}{m^4 r_+^4} \left(\frac{\xi}{24} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{9}{1280} \right) + \frac{1}{m^6 r_+^6} \left(-\frac{\xi^2}{12} + \frac{9\xi}{320} - \frac{1381}{483840} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^8 r_+^8}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(\mu_+) &= -\frac{7}{1920\mu_+^4} - \frac{31}{32256\mu_+^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_+^8}\right) \\
&= -\frac{7}{1920m^4r_+^4} + \frac{1}{m^6r_+^6} \left(\frac{7\xi}{480} - \frac{449}{161280}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{m^8r_+^8}\right), \\
I_2(\mu_+) &= -\frac{31}{16128\mu_+^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{\mu_+^8}\right) = -\frac{31}{16128m^6r_+^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^8r_+^8}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and the expressions (34), (36) coincide with the correspondent expressions of the DeWitt-Schwinger approximation [5, 6, 7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^6} \left(-\frac{\xi^3}{24} + \frac{\xi^2}{48} - \frac{\xi}{240} + \frac{1}{2520} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^7} \left(\frac{\xi^3}{4} - \frac{\xi^2}{8} + \frac{\xi}{40} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{420} \right) (r - r_+) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^8} \left(-\frac{5\xi^3}{8} + \frac{5\xi^2}{16} - \frac{47\xi}{720} + \frac{1}{144} \right) (r - r_+)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^9} \left(\frac{5\xi^3}{4} - \frac{5\xi^2}{8} + \frac{73\xi}{540} - \frac{221}{15120} \right) (r - r_+)^3 + O\left((r - r_+)^4\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_r^r \rangle_{ren} - \langle T_t^t \rangle_{ren} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^9} \left(\frac{\xi}{270} - \frac{2}{945} \right) (r - r_+)^3 \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{(r - r_+)^3}{m^4 r_+^{11}}\right) + O\left((r - r_+)^4\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_\theta^\theta \rangle_{ren} = \langle T_\varphi^\varphi \rangle_{ren} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^6} \left(\frac{\xi^3}{12} - \frac{\xi^2}{24} + \frac{\xi}{120} - \frac{1}{1260} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^7} \left(-\frac{\xi^3}{4} + \frac{\xi^2}{8} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\xi}{36} + \frac{17}{5040} \right) (r - r_+) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^8} \left(\frac{5\xi^3}{8} - \frac{5\xi^2}{16} + \frac{29\xi}{360} - \frac{17}{1440} \right) (r - r_+)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2 m^2 r_+^9} \left(-\frac{5\xi^3}{4} + \frac{79\xi^2}{24} - \frac{35\xi}{27} + \frac{13}{90} \right) (r - r_+)^3 + O\left((r - r_+)^4\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank S. V. Sushkov, N. R. Khusnutdinov, and J. Matyjasek for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by grants 05-02-17344, 05-02-39023 and 06-01-00765 from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

-
- [1] P.R. Anderson, W.A. Hiscock, and D.J. Loran, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4365 (1995).
 - [2] J. Matyjasek, O. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 104018 (2001).
 - [3] J. Matyjasek, O. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 087501 (2005).
 - [4] L.J. Romans, *Nucl. Phys.* **B383**, 395 (1992).
 - [5] P. R. Anderson, W. A. Hiscock, and D. A. Samuel, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 4337 (1995).
 - [6] J. Matyjasek, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 124019 (2000).
 - [7] J. Matyjasek, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 084004 (2001).
 - [8] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford 1991).
 - [9] A.A. Popov, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 104005 (2001).
 - [10] A.A. Popov and S. V. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 044017 (2001).
 - [11] V. M. Khatsymovsky, *Phys. Lett.* **B399**, 215 (1997).
 - [12] V. M. Khatsymovsky, *Phys. Lett.* **B403**, 203 (1997).
 - [13] Frolov, V.P., Novikov, I.D. *Physics of Black Holes* (Kluwer Academic 1998).